Amendments and additions to the GCU (march 2011)

Annex 7

1 Expose the problem (with examples and, if possible, figures allowing to measure the	2 Show why and where the GCU is lacking in this respect
scope of the problem)	
When ordering spare parts there is the delivery address stated in the Form H/H ^R . In the case of road transport the mentioned address can be kept. In the case of transport by rail it is necessary to indicate the station code in the wagon note, which according to the delivery address mentioned in the Form H/H ^R can not be determined. E.g. By sending spare parts to the big cities such as Mannheim, which includes approx. 20 railway stations, there can be the case that a spare part is sent to the wrong railway station.	Annex 7 GCU does not impose the obligation to precise the code of the train station.
3 Explain why the described problem can only be solved through the GCU contract	4 Outline why solve the problem like it is envisaged in the proposed amendment/addition
Given that the Form H/H ^R is included in Annex 7 GCU, this problem must be solved only through	If in the Form H/H ^R under the delivery address
the GCU,	there will be mentioned code of the station, there
	is no case to send spare parts to the wrong station.
5 Describe how the proposed amendment or addition will help to solve the problem	6 Evaluate the possible positive and negative impacts (operational, costs, administrative, interespondentiation of the second
If in the Form H/H ^R under the delivery address	interoperability, safety, competitiveness,), using a scale from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high)
there will be mentioned code of the station, there is no case to send spare parts to the wrong	Positive:
station.	Guaranteed delivery to the correct railway station Be negative: not known.
7 Text proposal	Hot KHOWH.
Under the delivery address add " Code of station"	Samplina.tom Form M ^R so