

Amendments and additions to the GCU: Proposal sheet

Appendix 10 – Article 1.35

<p>1. - Present the problem (with examples and, if possible, figures giving a measure of the scope of the problem):</p> <p>Article 1.35 needs to be adapted within the context of development of Form Hr planned for 2016.</p>	<p>2. - Show what the GCU is lacking in this respect:</p> <p>Article 1.35 of Appendix 10 clarifies what information must be entered on Form Hr when an axle is replaced. The new Form Hr will require information on the diameters of the axles on the wagon. This clarification must be entered under point 1.35. Furthermore, it appears necessary to clarify that the diameter "B" to be entered on Form Hr is a measured value and not a nominal diameter.</p>												
<p>3. - Explain why the problem can only be solved through the GCU contract:</p> <p>Form Hr is a form permitting the replacement of axles within the framework of the GCU.</p>	<p>4. - Outline why the problem should be solved as envisaged in the proposed amendment/addition:</p> <p>The amendment proposed to Article 1.35 allows a request to be made to the workshops to provide information for Form Hr by indicating the diameters of all the axles.</p>												
<p>5. - Describe how the proposed amendments or additions will help solve the problem:</p> <p>The proposed addition to Appendix 10 will allow consistency to be maintained between Appendix 7 and Form Hr as is proposed in the new amendment.</p>	<p>6. - Assess the potential positive and negative impacts (on operations, costs, administration, interoperability, safety, competitiveness, etc.), using a scale from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high):</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">Operations:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">+0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Costs:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">-1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Administration</td> <td style="text-align: right;">+2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Interoperability:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">+0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Safety:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">+2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Competitiveness:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">+0</td> </tr> </table>	Operations:	+0	Costs:	-1	Administration	+2	Interoperability:	+0	Safety:	+2	Competitiveness:	+0
Operations:	+0												
Costs:	-1												
Administration	+2												
Interoperability:	+0												
Safety:	+2												
Competitiveness:	+0												

7. – Text proposal (modifications in blue) :

1.35 If a replacement axle is requested using Form Hr (see Appendix 7), ~~the form shall include an indication of the diameter of the previous axle's running circle (bogie or axle wagon)~~ **the diameters of the running circles of all the axles on the wagon must be measured and shown on the Form Hr (column B)** so that the keeper can supply an axle with a running circle whose diameter is within the difference range permitted by the applicable regulations.

If an axle is replaced without making use of the Form Hr procedure and with no specific indication from the keeper, the difference in the diameters of the running circle diameters must be no greater than:

- 10 mm between the two axles of a bogie
- 20 mm for axle wagons.