

Proposed amendment to GCU Appendix 9

Record of amendments

Amended by	Date	Paragraph	Amendment
Matthieu Rep	10/10/2017		Filing, introduction
Xavier Merleau	30/01/2018		Modified following TTI WG meeting of Jan 2018
TTI WG decision	21.03.2018		See minutes of TTI WG meeting of March 2018
WU SG decision	29/5/2018		See minutes of WU SG meeting of May 2018

Title:	Tarpaulins - code 6.6.1.3 + introduction of code 6.6.1.4
Proposed amendment concerns RU/keeper/other:	SNCF/ Sub-working Group Appendix 9 AFWP
Proposed amendment concerns:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appendix 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Appendix 11
Proposer:	Xavier Merleau – SNCF/Matthieu Rep - GEFCO
Location, date:	Courbevoie, 10/10/2017
Concise description:	Introduction of code 6.6.1.4

1. Starting point (current situation):

1.1. Introduction

No "K label" follow-up action taken in the event of observed damage wagon tarpaulins.

1.2. Mode of operation

Wagons that do not meet these measurement criteria are detached and must be repaired on-site.

1.3. Anomaly/description of problem

Detaching wagons in this manner results in complications and handling costs.

1.4. Does this concern a recognised code of practice* (e.g. DIN, EN)?

No Yes (state which):

* "Code of practice: a written set of rules that, when correctly applied, can be used to control one or more specific hazards." "(source: Regulation EC 352/2009, Article 3)

"Technical provisions laid down in writing or conveyed verbally and pertaining to procedures, equipment and modes of operation which are generally agreed by the populations concerned (specialists, users, consumer and public authorities) to be suitable for achieving the objective prescribed by law, and which have either proven their worth in practice or, it is generally agreed, are likely to within a reasonable period of time" (translation/source: BMJ Handbuch der Rechtsförmlichkeit – German Ministry of Justice)

2. Target situation

2.1 Elimination of anomaly/problem (goal)

Introduction of an intermediate control measure in order to anticipate the change and to eliminate the risk of the wagon being withdrawn from operation.
(See 3)

3. Additional text and/or modifications (relates to proposed amendments to GCU Appendix 9):

Amendment colour code:
 Black: Current text, for info and remains unchanged
 Red: new text
 Blue: (if crossed out): text to be deleted

Component	Code no.	Irregularities/Criteria/Notes	Action to be taken	Category
	6.6.1.2	Tarpaulin - tarpaulin torn, holed ≤ 30 mm	Rectify	3
	6.6.1.3	Tarpaulin - tarpaulin torn, holed > 30 mm	Detach wagon	5
	6.6.1.4	Tarpaulin - eyelet missing, torn off	Rectify + K. If not possible, detach wagon	4

4. Reason:

5. Assess potential positive/negative impacts
<p><i>Assess the possible positive and negative impacts (operations, costs, administration, interoperability, safety, competitiveness, etc.), using a scale from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high):</i> Justify observations</p> <p>Positive impacts: Safety: Value 3 Operations, interoperability, costs, management, competitiveness: Value 1</p>

6. Safety appraisal of proposed amendment

Description of actual/target system, and scope of change to be made (see points 1 and 2).

Performance of risk analysis is unnecessary where only recognised standards are implemented.

Risk analysis conducted by:

<p>6.1 Does the change have impact on safety?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
<p>Reason:</p>	
<p>6.2 Is the change significant?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
<p>Reason: see template. Attach the "significant change" test template.</p>	
<p>6.3 Determining and classifying risk</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
<p>6.3.1 Effect of change in normal operation: 6.3.2 Effect of change in the event of disruption/deviation from normal operation: 6.3.3 Potential misuse of system: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (describe possible misuse):</p>	
<p>6.4 Have safety measures been applied?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
<p><i>For each type of risk, one of the following risk acceptance criteria is to be selected:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Code of practice</i> • <i>Use of reference system</i> • <i>Explicit risk assessment</i> 	
<p>6.5 Has a risk analysis been submitted to the assessment body?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
<p>Assessment body: Attach the verdict reached by the assessment body</p>	
<p>[Appendix]</p>	