

Amendment Proposal Appendix 10 to the GCU

Record of amendments

Amended by	Date	Paragraph	Amendment
Burkhard Lerche	15/2/2018	Chapter A	
Maintenance WG Appendix 10:	18/4/2018		Final version

Title:	Adaptation of the principles of Chapter A
Proposed amendment made by: RU/keeper/other	WG Maintenance Appendix 10
Proposed amendment to:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appendix 10
Proposer:	WG Maintenance Appendix 10
Location, date:	15/2/2018
Concise description:	Adaptation of the principles of Chapter A

1. Starting point (current situation):

1.1. Introduction
Certain measures are provided for both in Appendix 9 and Appendix 10 and thus are duplicated.
1.2. Mode of operation
-
1.3. Anomaly/description of problem

1.4. Does this concern a recognised code of practice* (e.g.
<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (state which):
<p>* "a written set of rules that, when correctly applied, can be used to control one or more specific hazards." (Source: Regulation (source: Regulation EC 352/2009, Article 3)</p> <p>"Technical provisions laid down in writing or conveyed verbally and pertaining to procedures, equipment and modes of operation which are generally agreed by the populations concerned (specialists, users, consumer and public authorities) to be suitable for achieving the objective prescribed by law, and which have either proven their worth in practice or, it is generally agreed, are likely to within a reasonable period of time". (Source: BMJ Handbuch der Rechtsförmlichkeit – guide published by German Ministry of Justice)</p>

2. Target situation

2.1. Elimination of anomaly/problem (solution sought)

3. Additional text and/or modifications relates to proposed amendments to GCU Appendix 10:

Amendment colour code:
 Black: Current text, for info and remains unchanged
 Blue: new text

A - CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE

0 Principle

Wagon keepers, customers of repair work and workshops must all ensure that wagons are free from defects that are liable to lead to the vehicle being removed from service again, based on the provisions of Appendix 9 on the instructions issued for repairs to be carried out and Appendix 10, Chapter A (and where appropriate also Chapter B) on the actual execution of repair work.

Chapter A of Appendix 10 contains criteria and guidance to be applied by workshops to remove irregularities as understood by Appendix 9. *The measures carried out and documented under Appendix 9 (e.g. Annex 12) do not need to be repeated under Appendix 10.*

It is not necessary to apply the whole of Chapter A of Appendix 10 each time a wagon is sent to a workshop, only those provisions relating to the damage that is to be repaired.

Irrespective of the reason for withdrawing the wagon from service, the workshop must ensure that it complies with the provisions marked with a * every time it handles this wagon.

If the workshop is not in a position to restore the wagon to the minimum specified condition, the vehicle must be handled in accordance with the keeper's instructions (procedure as per Appendix 9).

4. Reason:

5. Assess potential positive/negative impacts

Assess the possible positive and negative effects (operations, costs, administration, interoperability, safety, competition, etc.) on a scale of 1 (very low) to 5 (very high): Reasoning behind amendment:

Positive impacts:
 Impact on costs/administration/interoperability/safety/competitiveness:

This modification will facilitate savings on costs incurred by duplication of measures.

6. Safety appraisal of proposed amendment

Description of actual/target system, and scope of change to be made (see points 1 and 2).

Performance of risk analysis is unnecessary where only recognised standards are implemented.

Risk analysis conducted by:

6.1. Does the change have impact on safety?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Reason: This amendment relates purely to the prevention of duplication.	
6.2. Is the change significant?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Reason:	
6.3. Determining and classifying risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
6.3.1. Effect of change in normal operation:	
6.3.2. Effect of change in the event of disruption/deviation from normal operation:	
6.3.3. Potential misuse of system:	
<input type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (describe possible misuse):	
6.4. Have safety measures been applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
For each type of risk, one of the following risk acceptance criteria is to be selected: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code of practice • Use of reference system • Explicit risk estimate 	Not necessary
6.5. Has a risk analysis been submitted to the assessment body?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Assessment body: Attach the verdict reached by the assessment body:	[appendix]