

Proposed amendment to Appendix 10 to the GCU

Record of amendments

Amended by	Date	Module	Amendment
WG Neandertal	05/09/2023	M03.008	First draft
WG MNT	24-25/10/2023	M03.008	Update
WG MNT decision	09-10/04/2024	M03.008	Update
WU SG decision	14/05/2024	M03.008	Approved by WU SG
GCU JC decision	04/06/2024	M03.008	Approved by GCU JC after minor rewordings

Title	M03.008: Restore usability of brake isolating cock M03.008 : Rendre le robinet d'isolement du frein utilisable M03.008: Bremsabsperrhahn gangbar machen	
Proposed amendment made by RU/keeper/other:	WG Neandertal	
Proposed amendment to:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appendix 10	<input type="checkbox"/> Annex 6 (appendix 10)
Proposer:		
Location, date:	05/09/2023	
Concise description:		

1. Starting point (current situation):

1.1. Introduction
The task of the working group for the modularization of appendix 10 of the GCU is to describe new modules containing the measures to restore fitness to run and to create a link to the damage codes of appendix 9 as well as to the coding of the works of appendix 10 annex 6
1.2. Mode of operation
The results of the working group are submitted as amendment to the working group appendix 10 and so introduced in the regular process for validation of amendments
1.3. Anomaly/description of problem
Appendix 10 does not currently provide a comprehensive package of works to be carried out in order to restore the fitness to run. By introducing modularisation, this problem is solved. Modularisation supports the further digitalisation.
1.4. Does this concern a recognised code of practice* (e.g. ISO, EN)?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (state which): <small>* "a written set of rules that, when correctly applied, can be used to control one or more specific hazards." (Source: Regulation (source: Regulation EC 402/2013, Article 3)</small> <small>"Technical provisions laid down in writing or conveyed verbally and pertaining to procedures, equipment and modes of operation which are generally agreed by the populations concerned (specialists, users, consumer and public authorities) to be suitable for achieving the objective prescribed by law, and which have either proven their worth in practice or, it is generally agreed, are likely to within a reasonable period of time". (Source: BMJ Handbuch der Rechtsförmlichkeit – guide published by German Ministry of Justice)</small>

2. Target situation

2.1. Elimination of anomaly/problem (solution sought)
See below point 3

3. Additional text (relates only to proposed amendments to GCU Appendix 10):**Colour codes for amendment proposals:****Black:** Currently applicable text; provides information and remains unchanged**Red:** New text**Blue:** (may be crossed out): Text to be deleted**Symbols are used as follows:**

→ Link to other section of the GCU

✉ Communication between keeper and workshop

📝 Documentation of the work acc. to app. 10 annex 6

Note: if changes of the annex 6 are required, they have to be named below.

The damage codes of section 2 of this amendment proposal will be updated in the three languages in table in the introduction.

Damage code Appendix 9	Measures to restore the fitness to run
3.1.3.1 Brake isolating cock unusable 3.1.3.2 Brake isolating cock – position unclear	M03.008 Restore usability of brake isolating cock M03.008 Restore usability of brake isolating cock
Code d'anomalie Annexe 9	Mesures pour rétablir l'aptitude à la circulation
3.1.3.1 Robinet d'isolement du frein inutilisable 3.1.3.2 Robinet d'isolement du frein - position pas nette	M03.008 Rendre le robinet d'isolement du frein utilisable M03.008 Rendre le robinet d'isolement du frein utilisable
Schadcode Anlage 9	Maßnahmen zur Wiederherstellung Lauffähigkeit
3.1.3.1 Bremsabsperrhahn nicht gangbar 3.1.3.2 Bremsabsperrhahn Stellung nicht eindeutig	M03.008 Bremsabsperrhahn gangbar machen M03.008 Bremsabsperrhahn gangbar machen

EN**M03.008 Restore usability of brake isolating cock**

Technical requirements:	-
Organisational preparations:	-
No.	Work task, technical target state and additional notes
1.	Check the brake isolating cock component for deformation and contamination: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both sides of the bearing block • Housing plate and operating lever. • Rigging • Forked lever
2.	If necessary, straighten rigging and restore bolt connections
3.	Lubricate, if necessary

FR**M03.008 Rendre le robinet d'isolement du frein utilisable**

Conditions techniques :	-
Mesures préparatoires :	-
n°	Contenu de l'intervention, état technique théorique et autres indications
1.	Vérifier si les composants du robinet d'isolement sont déformés ou encrassés : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support de fixation du palier des deux côtés • Boite palier et levier • Timonerie de commande • Renvoi à fourche
2.	Redresser la timonerie de commande, si nécessaire, et remettre la liaison avec l'axe
3.	Lubrifier, si nécessaire

DE**M03.008 Bremsabsperrhahn gangbar machen**

Technische Voraussetzungen:	-
Organisatorische Vorbereitungen:	-
Nr.	Arbeitsinhalt, technischer Sollzustand und sonstige Hinweise
1.	Prüfung der Bauteile des Bremsabsperrhahn auf Verformung und Verunreinigung: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lagerbock beidseitig • Schildlager und Betätigungshebel • Gestänge • Gabelhebel
2.	Ggf. Gestänge richten und Bolzenverbindungen wiederherstellen
3.	Ggf. schmieren

4. Reason:

Transforming the measures of GCU Appendix 10 into the new modular design

5. Assess potential positive/negative impacts

Assess the possible positive and negative effects (operations, costs, administration, interoperability, safety, competitiveness, etc.) on a scale of 1 (very low) to 5 (very high):
Reasoning behind amendment:

This measure describes the good practice in maintenance and should not have a positive or negative effect on operations, costs, administration, interoperability, competitiveness, but presents an increase on safety.

6. Safety appraisal of proposed amendment

Description of actual/target system, and scope of change to be made (see points 1 and 2).

Performance of risk analysis is unnecessary where only recognised standards are implemented.

Risk analysis conducted by:

6.1. Does the change have an impact on safety?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Reason: No change in the process	
6.2. Is the change significant?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Reason: No change in the process	
6.3. Determining and classifying risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
6.3.1. Effect of change in normal operation:	
6.3.2. Effect of change in the event of disruption/deviation from normal operation:	
6.3.3. Potential misuse of system:	
<input type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (describe possible misuse):	
6.4. Have safety measures been applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
For each type of risk, one of the following risk acceptance criteria is to be selected:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code of practice • Use of reference system • Explicit risk assessment 	
6.5. Has a risk analysis been submitted to the assessment body?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Assessment body:	
Attach the verdict reached by the assessment body	[Appendix]