

Proposed amendment to to the GCU

Title	<i>Update of the requirements for documentation of maintenance modules</i>
Nummer	<i>AP-MNT-2025-03</i>
Planned entry into force	<i>01/01/2026</i>
Related Topic proposal	<i>TP-MNT-2025-03_Update of the requirement of documentation Annex 6</i>
Proposer / issuing working group	<i>WG MNT</i>
Section of the GCU	<i>GCU Appendix 10</i>
Concise description (Management Summary)	<i>With the introduction of maintenance modules, the requirements for documentations need to be updated</i>

Record of amendments:

Status	Date	Comment
<i>Work order</i>	<i>10/12/2024</i>	<i>During an online WG MNT meeting</i>
<i>In creation</i>	<i>30/12/2024</i>	<i>Created by the modularisation WG</i>
<i>Updates</i>	<i>20/01/2025</i>	<i>Updated by the modularisation WG</i>
<i>...</i>	<i>28/01/2025</i>	<i>Updated during the WG MNT meeting in Paris</i>
	<i>04/03/2025</i>	<i>Updated during an online WG MNT meeting</i>
<i>Decision Working Group</i>	<i>04/03/2025</i>	<i>Approved by the WG MNT</i>
<i>Feedback loop WG MNT after UIC WU SG, UIP and ERFA meetings</i>	<i>12/05/2025</i>	<i>No change reported, approved by all</i>
<i>Decision GCU JC</i>	<i>12/06/2025</i>	<i>Approved by the GCU JC</i>

1. Subject matter and reason for the amendment:

- Currently the requirements of documentation don't reflect the introduction of working modules in GCU Annex 6 Appendix 10. Currently the date and format of measured values and additional information together with the module number have to be added
- The reason for the planned change is the modularisation of the GCU Appendix 10

2. Description of the intended amendment and reason to implement it in the GCU:

- To avoid double documentation, to determine delimitation between CU codes and module numbers. To avoid a lack of information: all necessary information like values of measurements must be reported together with the codes.
- The reason for implementing it into the GCU is that it is already part of Appendix 10.

3. Is it reasonable to amend the contract?

- Is the change critical to keep the GCU up to date? Yes
- Is the implementation of the change urgent? Yes
- Estimated scope of application of the amendment: for every maintenance carried out in accordance with Appendix 10
- Consequences of not implementing the proposal: unclear requirement of documentation
- Overall priority: high

Proposed amendment to the GCU:

Colour codes for amendment proposals:

Black: Currently applicable text; provides information and remains unchanged

Red: New text

Blue: (may be crossed out): Text to be deleted

Symbols are used as follows:

→ Link to other section of the GCU

✉ Communication between keeper and workshop

📄 Documentation of the work acc. to app. 10 annex 6

Note: if changes of the annex 6 are required, they have to be named below.

English:

Appendix 10, Annex 6

CODING OF REPAIR WORK

The repair work carried out must be documented by the workshop for traceability purposes. The following coding is used to this end:

- The module number for measures to restore fitness to run and
- The CU codes according to column 1 of the table below for additional repair work authorised by the keeper

The documentation stating the coding is provided by the RU or its auxiliary and must be sent without delay to the keeper. CU codes may only be additionally specified if they are not part of the modules for measures to restore fitness to run.

The **module numbers** and **CU codes** can be indicated on the invoice and/or sent separately to the keeper. At least the wagon number, workshop name and **date of entry to/exit from** the workshop must be indicated as basic data.

Any additional information necessary and measurement values (**especially from column 3**) must, **at the latest and without prompting**, be communicated at the same time as the coding ~~or in a separate list. All reports mentioned shall be sent immediately.~~

Structure of the list:

Column 1, GCU intervention code: the intervention codes shall be sent to the keeper.

Meaning of codes **beginning with CU** (e.g. CU12345):

CU: Indication that this code belongs to the Appendix 10 to the GCU.

1: **Number of the subsection in Chapter A of Appendix 10** ~~Section of the GCU Appendix 9 and/or Appendix 10~~

234: Sequence number

5: Substance of intervention:

0	Inspection
1	Restore, reset (without welding)
2	Exchange
3	Weld

Column 2, action: description of action. May, if so desired, be sent with intervention code.

Column 3, other vital information: the measurement values indicated, position-related data, and any reports shall be communicated to the keeper.

Column 4, inspection as per Appendix 9: intervention corresponds to damage as described in Appendix 9 to the GCU.

Column 5, **provisions** as per Appendix 10: the intervention corresponds to the **provisions** as described in Appendix 10 to the GCU.

If the workshop has intervened in accordance with one or more modules, the workshop shall inform the wagon keeper by sending the module number(s).

German:

Anlage 10 – Anhang 6

CODIERUNG DER INSTANDSETZUNG **ARBEITEN**

Die durchgeführte Instandsetzung muss durch die Werkstatt zwecks Rückverfolgbarkeit dokumentiert werden. Hierzu wird nachfolgende Codierung verwendet:

- die Modulnummer für Maßnahmen zur Wiederherstellung der Lauffähigkeit und
- CU-Codes gemäß Spalte 1 der untenstehenden Tabelle für darüberhinausgehende vom Halter zugestimmte Instandsetzungsarbeiten.

Die Dokumentation mit Angabe der Codierung erfolgt durch das EVU oder seinen Erfüllungsgehilfen und muss dem Halter unverzüglich übermittelt werden. CU-Codes dürfen nur zusätzlich angegeben werden, sofern sie nicht Bestandteil der Module mit den Maßnahmen zur Wiederherstellung der Lauffähigkeit sind.

Die **Modulnummern und CU-Codes** können auf der Rechnung angegeben werden und/oder separat an den Halter übermittelt werden. Als Basisdaten sind mindestens die Wagenummer, der Werkstattname sowie das Datum des **Werkstatteingang und Werkstattraustritts** anzugeben.

Zusatzinformationen und Messwerte (**insb. gemäß Spalte 3**) müssen **unaufgefordert spätestens** zusammen mit den **Codierungen** übermittelt werden ~~oder auf einer separaten Liste zusammengefasst übermittelt werden. Alle angeführten Protokolle sind unaufgefordert zu übermitteln.~~

Aufbau der Liste:

Spalte 1, Eingriffscode AVV: Diese Eingriffscode sind an den Halter zu übermitteln.

Bedeutung von Codes **beginnend mit CU** (z.B. CU12345):

CU: Angabe, dass dieser Code zum AVV, Anlage 10 gehört.

1: **Nummer des Unterkapitels im Kapitel A der Anlage 10** ~~Kapitel aus AVV Anlage 9 bzw. AVV Anlage 10~~

234: Laufende Nummer

5: Angabe des Eingriffs: 0 Inspektion
 1 wiederherstellen, richten (ohne Schweißen)
 2 tauschen
 3 schweißen

Spalte 2, Tätigkeit: Beschreibung der Tätigkeit. Kann wahlweise gemeinsam mit dem Eingriffscode übermittelt werden

Spalte 3, Notwendige Zusatzinformation: Die angegebenen Messwerte, Positionsangaben oder Protokolle sind dem Halter zu übermitteln.

Spalte 4, Inspektion Anlage 9: Der Eingriff entspricht den Schäden gemäß AVV Anlage 9

Spalte 5, Vorschrift Anlage 10: Der Eingriff entspricht den Vorschriften der AVV Anlage 10

In den Fällen, in denen die Werkstatt gemäß einem oder mehreren Modulen gearbeitet hat, informiert die Werkstatt den Wagenhalter mit Zusendung der Modulnummer(n).

Annex: Safety Assessment of proposed amendment

Description of actual/target system, and scope of change to be made (see points 1 and 2).

Performance of risk analysis is unnecessary where only recognised standards are implemented.

This Safety Assessment is created and released by the working group and validated by the vote of the GCU signatories

1.1. Does the proposed change have an impact on safety?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Justification: clarification of existing documentation rules.	
1.2. Is the proposed change significant?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Justification:	
1.3. Determining and classifying risk, if necessary	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
1.3.1. Effect of change in normal operation: 1.3.2. Effect of change in the event of disruption/deviation from normal operation: 1.3.3. Potential misuse of system: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (describe possible misuse):	
1.4. Have safety measures been applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>For each type of risk, one of the following risk acceptance criteria is to be selected:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code of practice • Use of reference system • Explicit risk assessment 	
1.5. Has a risk analysis been submitted to the assessment body?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Assessment body: Attach the verdict reached by the assessment body	[Appendix]