

Proposed amendment to to the GCU

Title	<i>Creation of Annex 7: Damage codes - Modules assignment</i>
Nummer	<i>AP-MNT-2025-04</i>
Planned entry into force	<i>01/1/2026</i>
Related Topic proposal	<i>TP-MNT-2025-04</i>
Proposer / issuing working group	<i>WG MNT</i>
Section of the GCU	<i>GCU Appendix 10</i>
Concise description (Management Summary)	<i>Damage codes– Modules assignment</i>

Record of amendments:

Status	Date	Comment
<i>In creation</i>	<i>28/01/2025</i>	<i>Created during the WG MNT meeting in Paris</i>
<i>Updates</i>	<i>28/01/2025 04/03/2025</i>	<i>Updated during the WG MNT meeting in Paris Updated during an online WG MNT meeting</i>
<i>...</i>		
<i>Decision Working group</i>	<i>04/03/2025</i>	<i>Approved by the WG MNT</i>
<i>Feedback loop WG MNT after UIC WU SG, UIP and ERFA meetings</i>	<i>12/05/2025</i>	<i>No change reported, approved by all</i>
<i>Decision GCU JC</i>	<i>12/06/2025</i>	<i>Approved by the GCU JC</i>

1. Subject matter and reason for the amendment:

- The location of the table that makes Appendix 10 difficult to read is not aesthetically pleasing.
- A general rule on how to deal with non-assigned damage codes is missing
- The reason for the planned change is the modularization of Appendix 10

2. Description of the intended amendment and reason to implement it in the GCU:

- To Create a new Annex 7 Appendix 10 for the allocation table.
- The reason for implementing it into the GCU is that this is basically an editorial correction to Appendix 10

3. Is it reasonable to amend the contract?

- Is the change critical to keep the GCU up to date? Yes
- Is the implementation of the change urgent? No
- Estimated scope of application of the amendment: for every maintenance carried out in accordance with Appendix 10
- Consequences of not implementing the proposal: Unclear requirement on how to deal with non-assigned damage codes
- Overall priority: medium

Proposed amendment to the GCU:

Colour codes for amendment proposals:

Black: Currently applicable text; provides information and remains unchanged

Red: New text

Blue: (may be crossed out): Text to be deleted

Symbols are used as follows:

→ Link to other section of the GCU

☑ Communication between keeper and workshop

📄 Documentation of the work acc. to app. 10 annex 6

Note: if changes of the annex 6 are required, they have to be named below.

English:

-New Annex 7 GCU, see attachment.

-Deletion of text in Appendix 10 GCU, see attachment.

-In the introduction to Appendix 10

The implementation of the modules is mandatory and must be carried out in accordance with the table in Annex 7 GCU.

German:

-Neuer Anhang 7 AVV, siehe Unterlage.

-Streichung des Textes in Anlage 10 AVV, siehe Unterlage.

-Im Vorwort zu Anlage 10

Die Umsetzung der Module ist verbindlich und muss nach der Tabelle aus Anhang 7 AVV erfolgen.

French:

Nouvelle Appendice 7 du CUU, voir pièce jointe.

Suppression du texte de l'Annexe 10 du CUU, voir pièce jointe.

Dans le préambule de l'Annexe 10

L'application des modules est obligatoire et doit être conforme au tableau de l'Appendice 7 du CUU.

Annex: Safety Assessment of proposed amendment

Description of actual/target system, and scope of change to be made (see points 1 and 2).

Performance of risk analysis is unnecessary where only recognised standards are implemented.

This Safety Assessment is created and released by the working group and validated by the vote of the signatories.

1.1. Does the proposed change have an impact on safety?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Justification: clarification of existing rules without amending the table of damage codes.	
1.2. Is the proposed change significant?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Justification:	
1.3. Determining and classifying risk, if necessary	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
1.3.1. Effect of change in normal operation: 1.3.2. Effect of change in the event of disruption/deviation from normal operation: 1.3.3. Potential misuse of system: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (describe possible misuse):	
1.4. Have safety measures been applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>For each type of risk, one of the following risk acceptance criteria is to be selected:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Code of practice</i> <i>Use of reference system</i> <i>Explicit risk assessment</i> 	
1.5. Has a risk analysis been submitted to the assessment body?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Assessment body: Attach the verdict reached by the assessment body	[Appendix]