Proposed amendment to to the GCU

Title	*Update sections marked with a star*
Nummer	*AP-MNT-2025-08*
Planned entry into force	*01.01.2026*
Related Topic proposal	*TP-MNT-2025-08 – Update sections marked with a star*
Proposer / issuing working group	*WG MNT*
Section of the GCU	*GCU Appendix 10*
Concise description (Management Summary)	*In the latest update of GCU Appendix 10, the M00.002* module (including EVIC controls) was introduced, without deleting the stars in front of the existing sections. This creates double regulation. The proposed amendment does not change the content of the work to be carried out.*

Record of amendments:

Status	Date	Comment
Work order	*29/02/2025*	*During an online WG MNT meeting*
In creation	*19/02/2025*	*Created by the modularisation WG*
Update	*04/03/2025*	*Updated during an online WG MNT meeting*
…		
Decision Working group	*04/03/2025*	*Approved by the WG MNT*
Feedback loop WG MNT after UIC WU SG, UIP and ERFA meetings	*12/05/2025*	*No change reported, approved by all*
Decision GCU JC	*12/06/2025*	*Approved by the GCU JC*

1.   Subject matter and reason for the amendment:

- With the last update of GCU Appendix 10, the module M00.002* has been introduced, without erasing the stars in front of the existing sections. This creates double regulations.

- This proposed amendment doesn't change the content of the work to be performed.

2.   Description of the intended amendment and reason to implement it in the GCU:

- Delete the stars in front of the other sections.

- Already part of the GCU Appendix 10

3.   Is it reasonable to amend the contract?

- Is the change critical to keep the GCU up to date? Yes

- Is the implementation of the change urgent? Yes

- What es the estimated scope of application of the amendment (e.g. number waggons affected, number of maintenance operations…): every workshop stay.

- Consequences of not implementing the proposal: Misleading rules

- Define overall priority (High, Medium, Low): High

Proposed amendment to the GCU:

Colour codes for amendment proposals:
Black: Currently applicable text; provides information and remains unchanged
Red: New text
Blue: (may be crossed out): Text to be deleted

Symbols are used as follows:
→   Link to other section of the GCU
✉   Communication between keeper and workshop
📝   Documentation of the work acc. to app. 10 annex 6
Note: if changes of the annex 6 are required, they have to be named below.

English:

0.5 It is not necessary to apply the whole of Chapter A of Appendix 10 each time a wagon is sent to a workshop, only those provisions relating to the damage that is to be repaired. Irrespective of the reason for a wagon's withdrawal from service, compliance with those provisions of module M00.002* that are marked with an asterisk (*) is required systematically whenever a wagon is sent to the workshop.

*M01.002

1.6.2 *

1.15.2 *

1.16 *

5.3.2 *

5.7 *

5.8 *

5.9.1 *

5.9.2 *

6.28 *

6.29 *

6.30 *

6.31*

6.32 *

6.34 *

6.35 *

6.37 *

AP-MNT-2025-08

12/06/2025

German:

0.5 Die Anlage 10 Kap. A muss nicht in ihrer Gesamtheit bei jedem Werkstättenaufenthalt eines Güterwagens angewandt werden, sondern nur in Bezug auf die zu reparierenden Mängel. Unabhängig vom Grund des Aussetzens des Güterwagens, muss die Einhaltung der ~~mit einem (*) gekennzeichneten~~ Vorgaben nach Modul M00.002* bei jedem Werkstättenaufenthalt von der Werkstätte sichergestellt werden.

*M01.002

1.6.2 *

1.15.2 *

1.16 *

5.3.2 *

5.7 *

5.8 *

5.9.1 *

5.9.2 *

6.28 *

6.29 *

6.30 *

6.31*

6.32 *

6.34 *

6.35 *

6.37 *

French:

0.5 Il n'est pas nécessaire d'appliquer la totalité de l'Annexe 10 chapitre A lors de chaque séjour d'un wagon en atelier mais uniquement les dispositions relatives aux avaries à réparer. Indépendamment du motif du retrait de l'exploitation du wagon, le respect des prescriptions du module M00.002* doit repérées par une (*) doit être garanti par l'atelier lors de chaque passage dans celui-ci.

*M01.002

1.6.2 *

1.15.2 *

1.16 *

5.3.2 *

5.7 *

5.8 *

5.9.1 *

5.9.2 *

6.28 *

6.29 *

6.30 *

6.31*

6.32 *

6.34 *

6.35 *

6.37 *

Annex: Safety Assessment of proposed amendment

Description of actual/target system, and scope of change to be made (see points 1 and 2).

Performance of risk analysis is unnecessary where only recognised standards are implemented.

This Safety Assessment is created and released by the working group and validated by the vote of the GCU signatories

1.1. Does the proposed change have an impact on safety?	☒No ☐ Yes
Justification: editorial amendment, no change of the technical content	
1.2. Is the proposed change significant?	☐No ☐ Yes
Justification:	
1.3. Determining and classifying risk, if necessary	☐ N/A
1.3.1. Effect of change in normal operation: 1.3.2. Effect of change in the event of disruption/deviation from normal operation: 1.3.3. Potential misuse of system: ☐ No ☐ Yes (describe possible misuse):	
1.4. Have safety measures been applied?	☐No ☐ Yes
For each type of risk, one of the following risk acceptance criteria is to be selected: • *Code of practice* • *Use of reference system* • *Explicit risk assessment*	
1.5. Has a risk analysis been submitted to the assessment body?	☐No ☐ Yes
Assessment body: Attach the verdict reached by the assessment body	[Appendix]

AP-MNT-2025-08

12/06/2025